Vol. 3 No. 1, 2021. Available online at http://openjournal.unpam.ac.id/index.php/LJLAL

MORPHOLOGICAL AND SYNTACTICAL ERRORS ANALYSIS ON STUDENTS WRITING SKILL AT PAMULANG UNIVERSITY, SOUTH TANGERANG, BANTEN.

Kholil Azis

Universitas Pamulang Email: dosen00457@unpam.ac.id

Abstract

The aim of this research is to find out the Morphological and Syntactical errors made by the students in their written texts, the number of errors, and the types of errors that most often appear. The method which is used in this research is descriptive method. Descriptive research method refers to the method used to describe the data and the characteristics used to describe the population. The purpose of using the descriptive method is to obtain systematic, factual and accurate data that can provide an actual picture of the data that is the research material. Descriptive research methods should not be used in making causal relationships where one variable affects other variables. The source of the data was taken from the writings of 10 first semester students of the Faculty of Letters, Pamulang University, South Tangerang, Banten. In collecting data, the students were asked to write an essay in English. Students are given the freedom of topics to be written. In conclusion, from the 69 errors identified, there were 13 errors in the Morphological category and there were 56 errors in the Syntactical category from the total data found. From these results, it can be concluded that students make greater mistakes in the Syntactical category.

Keywords: Morphology, Syntax, Errors Analysis, Students Composition

INTRODUCTION

Among several language skills such as: writing, reading, speaking and listening. Writing is one of the most complex language skill but also very important. Students who have good writing competence will be able to express their idea effectively. Good writing skills will make it easier for them to get privileges in getting job opportunities in the international sector, writing is one of the complicated skills especially when writing in a foreign language, in this case English. Students who are weak in writing English will find it difficult to assemble and formulate their idea according to the correct rules in the language itself.

The element that will be used as a tool in this research is the study of Morphology and Syntax. According to Miller (2002) syntax is the study of how words are assembled to form phrases, then phrases are assembled to form clauses and how clauses are assembled to form a sentence. Furthermore, Morphology is the study of morpheme as the smallest element in the grammatical level. Morphemes have two basic functions in linguistics, namely Morpheme which can be used to form new words or called Derivational Morpheme which involves the prefix and suffix in a word such as the verb "attract" in English which turns into an adjective with the addition of -ive to "attractive". " Next is Inflectional Morpheme where there is no change in word class that occurs (Todd, 1987).

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

As humans, of course, we are not free from things related to errors, even in the process of learning language, we can take advantage of mistakes made and occur to get a better understanding in theory or other things. According to Corder (1967) errors in language learning can create evidence for researchers about how language is learned and acquired, so that it can be found and learned about strategies in terms of improving better language learning. It can be concluded that mistakes made in the language process, in this case English, do not always have a negative impact. It is precisely from this process that we can understand better the extent to which the individual's language skills have been acquired so that more efficient strategies and steps can be drawn up in improving the ways, especially for English language teachers and students.

According to Brown (1994) error analysis is the study of student errors that can be observed, analyzed and classified to reveal something contained in the student learning system. In this case, the point that we can take is that error analysis can help teachers find problems faced by their students so that appropriate steps can be taken to solve them.

From the explanation above, it can be concluded that an analysis of students' errors in learning a language, in this case English, is a very important thing for teachers to do. Because from this analysis, there will be many positive things that can be concluded related to better strategies and methods in the language teaching process, especially writing skill, which as previously explained is the most complex language skill and requires more trained skills.

Based on the description above, the author takes the title: Morphological and Syntactical Errors Analysis on Students' Writing Skill at Panulang University, South Tangerang, Banten.

METHOD

The method used in this study is descriptive qualitative method which refers to the method used to describe the data and the characteristics used to describe the population. The purpose of using the descriptive method is to obtain systematic, factual and accurate data that can provide an actual picture of the data that is the research material. Descriptive research methods should not be used in making causal relationships where one variable affects other variables. The data source was taken from the writings of 10 first semester students of Universitas Pamulang.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Students Data

No.	Students Number	Name	Title of Composition
1.	2016077895	MA	E-Learning
2.	2016060626	LN	Sister from another Mother
3.	2016060693	OVP	Maura is My Friend
4.	2016060682	YM	About my friend
5.	2016060041	MSH	My Friend's Job
6.	2016060680	AP	My Friend
7.	2016060468	IAB	Football
8.	2016060094	BIP	My Hero, My Mother
9.	2016060319	CR	My Mother
10.	2016060716	HN	Me and My Family

Table 1. The students' Profiles

In analyzing the data, the writer uses Corder's taxonomy theory, namely:

- 1. Data collection
- 2. Error identification
- 3. Describing the error
- 4. Explaining the error
- 5. Evaluate/Correct errors.

In collecting data, students were asked to write an English composition. Students are free to choose topics to write about. After completing the work, the writer collects data from the written work that has been submitted by the students. The writer checks to find errors made by students. In the end, the writer makes some conclusions regarding the errors found in the English composition

	Table 2. Data from MA				
No	Error Identification	Types of Error	Error Correction		
1.	I worked E-Learning last Sunday.	Wrong word form	.I did E-Learning last		
	It's Religion and Culture course.	Morphology	Sunday. It's Religion and		
			Culture course		
2.	I was finishing that work until I	Wrong word form	I was finishing that work		
	felt <i>confuse</i>	Morphology	until I felt confused		
3.	E-Learning are methods of	Subject verb	E-Learning is a method of		
	learnto teach and discussions	agreement,	learning to teach and to		
	places.	Morphology	discuss		
		Article, Gerund,			
		Parallel structure			
		Syntax			
4.	Use the web server, E-Learning	Gerund,	Using the web server, E-		
	<i>use</i> to substitute a course	Passive voice	Learning is used to		
		Syntax	substitute a course.		
5.	We just open the web server <i>and</i>	Parallel structure	We just open the web server		
	opened the meeting course	Syntax	and the meeting course.		

Errors Description of the Students

5 error identifications were carried out, there are similarities in the number of errors between the morphological and syntactical elements. *Wrong word form* is the most common at the Morphological level, while the *Gerund* element is the differentiator in the Syntactical element.

	Table 3. Data from LN						
No	Error Identification	Types of Error	Error Correction				
1.	She always <i>listened</i> to all my problems.	Simple present tense Syntax	She always <i>listens</i> to all my problems				
2.	She often helpedsolve my problem.	Simple present tense, Gerund Syntax	She <i>often helps</i> solving my problem.				
3.	I often call her by sister from another mother because she and		I often call her <i>as</i> sister from another mother				

Table 3. Data from LN

	his family are very nice	Syntax	because she and her family
			are very nice.
4.	And also the opposite of my	Word order	And my family has also to
	family to be nice to her.	Syntax	be nice to her

The majority of errors made in the table above are in the Syntactical aspect. From the 4 existing number of error identifications, the *tenses* aspect is the most with 2 errors made.

No	Error Identification	Types of Error	Error Correction			
1.	She is very <i>beatiful</i> , smart and	Wrong word form	She is very <i>beautiful</i> , smart			
_	friendly.	Morphology	and friendly.			
2.	She is working at swasta	Article	She is working at a private			
	company	Syntax	company.			
		Wrong word form				
		Morphology				
3.	She <i>have</i> hobbies	Subject verb	She has hobbies			
		agreement				
		Morphology				
4.	She was very clever the English	Preposition	She was very clever in the			
	language	Syntax	English language.			
5.	He received an award for as tour	Article,	He received an award as a			
	guide talented	Preposition,	talented tour guide			
		Word order				
		Syntax				
6.	"Succesed will come when we	Wrong word form	"Success will come when			
	were working hard to get it and	Morphology	we work hard to get it and			
	don't be afraid of <i>failured</i> "	Simple present	don't be afraid of <i>failure</i> "			
		tense				
		Syntax				

Wrong word form at the Morphological level and article at the Syntactical level are the most common in the context of the errors that occur made by student No. 3

Table 5. Data from YM				
No	Error Identification	Types of Error	Error Correction	
1.	He teaches there as English	Article	He teaches there as an	
	teacher	Syntax	English teacher	
2.	His schedule is so very crowded	Degree of	His schedule is very	
		Intensifier	crowded	
		Syntax		
3	One month ago, he has visited to	Simple past tense	One month ago, he visited	
	Kalimantan for several day.	Syntax	Kalimantan for several days	
		Plural/singular		
		form		
		Morphology		
4.	He ever told me last year that he	To infinitive	He ever told me last year	
	did not want <i>work</i> except being a	Syntax	that he did not want to work	
	teacher.		except being a teacher.	

Errors in the Syntactical element became the most in the form of errors made by student No. 4.

There are no prominent errors, all are evenly distributed in terms of Syntactical errors.

No	Error Identification	Types of Er		Error Correction
1.	He live in West Jakarta	Subject	verb	He lives in West Jakarta
		agreement		
		Morphology		
2.	Everyday he starts working at	Preposition		Everyday he starts working
	08:00 am until 05:00pm.	Syntax		from 08:00 am until 05:00
_				pm
3.	He works in a big office on his	Preposition		He works in a big office at
	company	Syntax		his company
4.	Harry is <i>a</i> emphatic person	Article		Harry is an emphatic person
		Syntax		
5.	That's why his boss very likes	Degree	of	That's why his boss likes
	him.	Intensifier		him very much.
		Syntax		

Table 6. Data from MSH

Subject verb agreement is the only error at the Morphological level made by student No. 5. Syntactical errors still dominate with a total of 4 errors.

NT				
No	Error Identification	Types of Error	Error Correction	
1.	I want to <i>tell my</i> friend to you	Preposition	I want to tell about my	
		Syntax	friend to you	
2.	She <i>live at</i> Muncul, she was born	Subject verb	She <i>livesin</i> Muncul, she was	
	on Tangerang.	agreement	born in Tangerang	
		Morphology		
		Preposition		
_		Syntax		
3.	Puttyani can communication with	Modal Auxiliary	Puttyani can communicate	
	her customer	Syntax	with her customer	
4.	Puttyani back to home 05:00 pm	Simple present	Puttyani goes back to home	
		tense,	<i>at</i> 05:00 pm.	
		Preposition		
		Syntax		
5.	But yesterday, Puttyani was late	Preposition	But yesterday, Puttyani was	
	to work because she had traffic	Syntax	late to work because she	
	jam <i>in as long as</i> the journey.		had traffic jam <i>in</i> the	
			journey.	
6.	Last night she was very busy	Simple past tense	Last night she was very	
	because she do her assignment	Syntax	busy because she did her	
	and write her report		assignment and wrote her	
	-		report.	

Table 7. Data from AP

Errors in the *Preposition* with total 3 become the differentiator from student No. 6. Lack of understanding in terms of the following allows this to happen and becomes very important to learn.

Table 8. Data from IAB				
No	Error Identification	Types of Error	Error Correction	
1.	Football has played in every state	Passive voice	Football has been played in	
	in the world	Syntax	every state in the world.	
2.	We play without <i>use</i> the shoes,	Gerund,	We play without <i>using</i> the	
	we call that's "nyeker"	Preposition	shoes, we call that as	
		Syntax	"nyeker"	
3.	When Adzan Maghrib has heard	Passive voice	When Adzan Maghrib is	
	all of we go to home quickly.	Syntax	heard, we go to home	
			quickly.	

All errors made are at the Syntactical level with a total of 3 error identifications. The *passive voice* aspect is the most with 2 errors.

	Table 9. Data from BIP					
No	Error Identification	Types of Error	Error Correction			
1.	My mother <i>using</i> the payment by	Simple present	My mother uses the			
	credit.	tense	payment by installment			
		Syntax				
		Wrong word form				
		Morphology				
2.	Everyday she go around the	Subject verb	Everyday she goes around			
	village for collecting her money.	agreement	the village for collecting her			
		Morphology	money			

Table	0	Data	from	RID
Iadle	9.	Data	Irom	BIP

Apart from the others. Student No. 8 performs more errors at the Morphological level with a total of 2 errors and only 1 error in the Syntactical element.

Table 10. Data nom eK			
No	Error Identification	Types of Error	Error Correction
1.	Her birthday on 17th August	The use of to be,	Her birthday is on 17th of
	1975.	Preposition	August 1975
		Syntax	_
2.	She is a friend outpouring of my	Article,	She is an outpouring friend
	heart.	Word order	of my heart
		Syntax	
3.	We departed at 07:00 am. Our	Simple past tense	We departed at 07:00 am.
	trip for two hours.	Syntax	our trip <i>took</i> two hours
4.	Everyday my mother went to	Preposition	Everyday my mother went
	work on a motorbike.	Syntax	to work by a motorbike.
5.	I <i>like</i> to worry if she was go to the	Simple past tense	I <i>liked</i> to worry if she went
	factory and it was raining.	Syntax	to the factory and it was
		-	raining.

Table 10. Data from CR

Syntax became the aspect that dominated the errors made by student No. 9. None of the Morphological elements are created. Errors in the form of *Tenses* became the most with a total of 2 errors.

No	Error Identification	Types of Error	Error Correction
1.	My name is Hikmawati	Simple present	My name is Hikmawati
	Nurhusein. I <i>lived</i> in Parung.	tense	Nurhusein. I <i>live</i> in Parung.
		Syntax	
2.	I have story myself and family	Article, Preposition	I have a story about myself
		Syntax	and family
3.	I the second child of third	The use of to be,	I am the second child of
	children.	Syntax	three children.
		Wrong word form,	
		Morphology	
4.	She is beautiful and her heart	The use of to be	She is beautiful and her
	very kind.	Syntax	heart is very kind.
5.	Since childhood, I was never in	Passive voice	Since childhood, I have
	<i>leaved</i> by them.	Syntax	<i>never been leaved</i> by them.
6.	Everyday at morning, my mother	Preposition,	Everyday in the morning,
	was prepared food for breakfast	Article, Simple past	my mother prepares food
		tense	for breakfast
		Syntax	
7.	My father was loving man he was	Article, Preposition	My father was a loving
	very concerned with family.	Syntax	man, he was very concerned
			<i>about</i> family.

Table 11. Data from HN

Syntactical errors are the most common in different forms. However, as seen in the student data No. 10. *Prepositional* errors, and *article* errors are the most conducted.

CONCLUSION

There are 69 errors have been identified, there were 13 errors in the Morphological category and there were 56 errors in the Syntactical category of the overall data found. From these results, it can be concluded that students make greater mistakes in the Syntactical category.

Morphologically, the errors made by the students of the Faculty of Letters, Pamulang University, South Tangerang, Banten, lie in the *wrong word form* aspect with 7 errors, *subject verb agreement* with 5 errors, and *plural/singular form* with 1 error.

At the syntactical level, the errors made by students occur in the form of *tenses* 12 errors, *prepositions* 14 errors, *article* 10 errors, *the use of to be* 3 errors, *infinitive to* 1 error, *passive voice* 4 errors, *gerund* 4 errors, *parallel structure* 2 errors, *intensifier degree* 2, *modal auxiliary* 1 error and the last is *word order* with 3 errors.

Most students make more syntactical errors rather than morphological errors, which have been described previously. The students seemed confused to arrange the words and they could not identify the parts of the words. Most of them find it difficult to distinguish elements such as: verbs, adjectives, nouns and adverbs because four of them are important parts of the part of speech. Students do not only have problems with part of speech as basic knowledge of comprehensive structures or grammar. But they also find it difficult to memorize many tenses in English. Moreover, they get negative transfer from their first language by translating or expressing idea from their first language to target language which causes wrong sentences as well. This is related to the theory put forward by Radford (2004) that syntax is the study of how phrases and sentences are composed of words.

Students' ability in understanding grammar is very influential in terms of the number of syntactical rather than morphological errors that occur. Giving tests and practice as well as teaching English grammar are considered effective in solving the problem of the lack of syntactical ability of students

REFERENCES

Aitchison, J. 1995. Linguistics: an introduction. Great Britain: Hodder & Stoughton Educational.

- Azar, B, S. 1993. Fundamentals of english grammar edisi inggris indonesia. Jakarta: Binarupa Aksara.
- Brown, H, D. 1994. *Teaching by principles: interactive language teaching methodology*. New York: Prentice Hall Regents.
- Brown, H, D. 2003. Language assessment principles and classroom practices. USA: Longman.
- Brown, H. D. 1993. *Principles of language learning and teaching third edition*. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall Regents.
- Brown, H. D. 2003. *Principles of language learning and teaching third edition*. New York: Longman.
- Brown, J, D. 1998. New ways of classroom assessment. Alexandria: VA.
- Burt, M. K., & Dulay, H, C. 1977. *English as a second language*. New York: Regents Publishing Company.
- Carstairs-McCarthy, A. 1992. Current morphology. New York: Routledge.
- Chaer, A. 2007) Linguistik Umum. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Corder, S. Pit. 1967. *The Significance of learners' errors*. Reprinted in Richard, Jack (ed) 1974, 1984 (p. 19-27). *Error analysis: perspectives on second language acquisition*. London: Longman.
- Corder, S. P. 1981. Error analysis and interlanguage. New York: Oxpord University Press.
- Dulay, H, B, K. 1982. Language two. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Erdogan, V. 2005. *Contribution of error analysis to foreign language teaching*. Mersin University Journal of the Faculty of Education. 1(2), 261-270.
- Fauziati, E. 2005. *Introduction to methods and approaches in second or foreignlanguageteaching*. Surakarta: Muhammadiyah University Press
- Frank, M. 1972. *Modern English exercises for nonnative speakers Part 1: parts of speech*. Englewoods Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Fromkin, V. & Rober, R. 1998. *An introduction to language*. California: Harcourt Brace College Publisher.
- Gunawan, A, H. 2010. Sosiologi pendidikan. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Harmer, J. 2004. How to teach writing. Essex: Pearson dcation Limited.
- Harmer, J. 2007. How to teach English new edition. China: Longman.
- Heaton, J.B. 1988. Writing English writing test. London & New York: Longman.
- James, C. 1998. Errors in language learning and use: exploring error analysis. London: Longman.
- Mada, M. A. 1995. English syntax part 1 kernel and single base transformation. Jakarta.
- O'Grady, W. 2005. Contemporary linguistics fifth edition. New York: Bedford/St. Martin's Boston.
- Oshima, A & Hogue, A. 1998. Writing academic English third edition. New York: Longman.
- Radford, A. 2004. English syntax: an introduction. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Rao. N.D.V. P. Dr. 2009. New learner's English grammar and composition. India: Vikas Publishing House Pvt. Ltd.
- Richard, J. 1974. *Error analysis a non-contrastive approach to error analysis*. London: Longman Group.
- Richards, J., Platt, J. & Weber, H. 1985. Longman Dictionary of Applied Linguistics. Harlow: Longman.
- Richards, Jack C. 1974. Error Analysis. UK. Longman Group Ltd.
- Richards, Jack C. 2001. Curriculum Development in Language Teaching. UK. Cambridge University Press.

- Rooks, G, M. 1999. *Share your paragraph: An Interactive Approach to Writing*. USA. Prentice Hall Regents.
- Setiyadi, Ag. B. 2006. Teaching English as a foreign language. Graha Ilmu.
- Sukardi. 2008. Metodologi penelitian pendidikan: kompetensi dan praktiknya. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Sumaryoto, H. 2015. Panduan Penulisan Skripsi Tugas Akhir dan Tesis. Jakarta: Unindra.
- Todd, L. 1987. An introduction to linguistics. Singapore. Longman York Press.
- Wishon, E. G. & Julia, M. B. 1980. Let's write English. Atlantis Publisher.