

FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF PT MEDCO ENERGI INTERNASIONAL TBK

Muhammad Syauqi Rabbani

Management Department, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Pamulang
e-mail: sauqirabani11@gmail.com

Abstract

This study aims to evaluate the financial performance of PT Medco Energi Internasional Tbk (MEDC) from 2015 to 2024 using financial ratio analysis. As a major player in Indonesia's energy sector, MEDC operates in a dynamic environment characterized by global commodity price volatility and energy transition pressures. The research employs a descriptive quantitative method, analyzing secondary data from the company's annual financial reports. Key ratios assessed include liquidity (Current Ratio, Quick Ratio, Cash Ratio), profitability (Net Profit Margin, Gross Profit Margin, Return on Equity, Return on Investment), solvency (Debt to Asset Ratio, Debt to Equity Ratio), and activity ratios (Inventory Turnover, Total Asset Turnover). The findings indicate that MEDC maintains adequate average liquidity (CR 156.17%, QR 147.56%) and a strong Gross Profit Margin (42.43%). However, the company exhibits high solvency risk with a high Debt to Asset Ratio (0.75x) and Debt to Equity Ratio (3.06x), significantly exceeding safe industry standards. Furthermore, profitability metrics such as Return on Equity (14.45%) and Return on Investment (3.64%) are suboptimal, while asset utilization efficiency is low (Total Asset Turnover 0.24x). The study concludes that while MEDC demonstrates operational strength in certain areas, its high leverage and low asset efficiency pose significant financial risks. The results offer valuable insights for management, investors, and regulators in strategic decision-making and risk assessment within the volatile energy industry.

Keywords:

Financial Performance, Financial Ratios, PT Medco Energi Internasional Tbk, Energy Sector, Solvency

Introduction

Amid the dynamics of the global energy market, characterized by commodity price volatility, the transition to sustainable energy, and increasingly fierce competition, financial performance analysis has become imperative for companies to ensure their long-term survival and growth. PT Medco Energi Internasional Tbk (MEDC), as one of Indonesia's leading integrated energy companies, is not immune to these challenges. The company operates in a highly dynamic business environment where fluctuations in crude oil prices, national energy policies, and environmental pressures significantly impact its financial and operational stability.

Financial ratio analysis serves as a crucial evaluation tool for assessing the financial health of an entity. Through this approach, a company's performance can be comprehensively measured across aspects of liquidity, profitability, solvency, and activity. Within the context of MEDC, ratio analysis functions not only as a diagnostic tool but also as a foundation for strategic planning by management and for investment considerations by stakeholders. This study aims to analyze the financial performance of PT Medco Energi Internasional Tbk during the 2015–2024 period using a financial ratio approach. Its objectives are to identify the company's financial strengths and weaknesses, compare them against industry averages, and provide strategic recommendations that can support decision-making at both managerial and investment levels. The significance of this research lies in its contribution to the corporate finance literature within the Indonesian energy sector, particularly in the context of the transition towards clean energy. The findings are expected to serve as a reference for investors, management, and regulators in assessing the financial resilience of PT Medco Energi Internasional Tbk amidst global economic uncertainties in the post-pandemic period and the ongoing energy crisis.

Theoretical Framework

Financial Statement

Jumingan (2014) states that financial statements function as the end result of the process of summarizing a company's financial data. These documents are prepared and interpreted to meet the information needs of internal management as well as other external parties who have an interest in the company's financial data. Financial statements play a very important and extensive role because they influence the decision-making process. According to Fahmi (2012), this document is essential for measuring a company's progress and operational results over time, while also assessing the extent to which the company's objectives have been achieved. Fundamentally, financial statements are a product of the accounting process that serves as a communication medium for the company's financial data or activities to all interested parties.

Financial Statement Analysis

Financial statement analysis is the evaluation process of an entity's financial data to assess its financial performance and condition. This analysis is conducted by utilizing a recapitulation of various financial ratios, such as liquidity, profitability, activity, and solvency, all of which serve to evaluate the company's financial position. In her research, Marginingsih (2017) posits that financial statement analysis acts as a vital source of information used to assess the performance achieved by company management in the past, while also forming a basis for consideration in planning the company's future direction. This analysis is highly valuable as it aids in identifying relevant trends and patterns, thereby supporting a more informed decision-making process.

Financial Ratio

Financial ratio analysis is an effective evaluation method that combines elements of financial statements into simple mathematical comparisons over a specific period. This technique involves comparing two variables from documents such as balance sheets or income statements, which then serve as measuring tools for the company's financial condition and business results. By analyzing and interpreting various ratios, a deeper perspective on company performance can be obtained, far superior to analyzing financial data in isolation. Generally, financial ratios are divided into five types, including: profitability ratios, liquidity ratios, activity ratios, solvency or leverage ratios, and market value ratios.

Liquidity Ratios

According to Harahap (2010), liquidity ratios indicate a company's ability to meet its short-term obligations. Therefore, it can be concluded that liquidity is a ratio that describes the company's capacity to repay all its liabilities or debts in a timely manner. The following ratios are used in this study:

1. Current Ratio

The current ratio serves as a measure of a company's ability to repay all short-term obligations or debts that are due immediately upon demand. The formula used is:

$$\text{Current ratio: } \frac{\text{Current assets}}{\text{Current liabilities}} \times 100\%$$

2. Quick Ratio

The quick ratio is an indicator of a company's ability to settle its current (short-term) liabilities using its current assets, excluding the value of inventory. The formula used is:

$$\text{Quick ratio: } \frac{\text{Current assets} - \text{Inventory}}{\text{Current liabilities}} \times 100\%$$

3. Cash Ratio

The cash ratio is a benchmark used to determine the proportion of cash (and cash equivalents) owned by the company that is available to repay its current liabilities. The formula used is:

$$\text{Cash ratio: } \frac{\text{Cash and cash equivalents}}{\text{Current liabilities}}$$

Profitability Ratios

Ratios are used to assess an entity's ability to generate profit. Through these ratios, we can evaluate the effectiveness of company management as reflected in the profit obtained from sales and overall revenue (Amiin, 2022). The following ratios are used in this study:

1. Net Profit Margin

Net profit margin is a ratio that functions to describe the proportion of net profit generated by the company from total sales. The formula used is:

$$\text{Net Profit Margin: } \frac{\text{Net income}}{\text{Revenues}} \times 100\%$$

2. Gross Profit Margin

Gross profit margin is a ratio that shows the comparison of a company's gross profit to its sales, where the gross profit is obtained from net sales after deducting the cost of goods sold (COGS). The formula used is:

$$\text{Gross Profit Margin: } \frac{\text{Gross Profit}}{\text{Revenues}} \times 100\%$$

3. Return on Equity

Return on equity, also referred to as return on own capital, is a ratio used to measure the comparison between the company's net profit after tax and the amount of equity. The formula used is:

$$\text{Return on Equity: } \frac{\text{Net income}}{\text{Total equity}} \times 100\%$$

4. Return on Investment

Return on investment functions to show the return on the total assets used by the company. This also serves as a measure of management's effectiveness in managing all its investments. The formula used is:

$$\text{Return on Investment: } \frac{\text{Net income}}{\text{Total assets}} \times 100\%$$

Solvency Ratios

According to Kasmir (2010), solvency ratios or leverage ratios are measurement tools used to assess the extent to which a company's assets are financed by debt. These ratios show the comparison between the debt burden borne by the company and its total assets. In conclusion, solvency ratios are used to examine the proportion of a company's assets financed by debt. The following ratios are used in this study:

1. Debt to Asset Ratio

This ratio is used to compare total debt with the company's total assets, effectively showing the percentage of company assets financed by debt, or how significantly debt influences asset management. The formula used is:

$$\text{Debt to Asset Ratio: } \frac{\text{Total liabilities}}{\text{Total assets}}$$

2. Debt to Equity Ratio

This ratio is used to evaluate the comparison between total debt (including current liabilities) and the company's total equity. The objective is to determine the proportion of funds provided by creditors (lenders) compared to funds from the company owners. The formula used is:

$$\text{Debt to Equity Ratio: } \frac{\text{Total liabilities}}{\text{Total equity}}$$

Activity Ratios

According to Kasmir (2019), activity ratios are measurement tools used to assess a company's effectiveness in utilizing all the assets it owns. In other words, these ratios measure the company's level of efficiency in resource utilization. The following ratios are used in this study:

1. Inventory Turnover

Inventory turnover is used to measure the frequency of fund rotation embedded in inventory within a specific time period. The formula used is:

$$\text{Inventory Turnover: } \frac{COGS}{\text{Inventory}}$$

2. Total Asset Turnover

Total asset turnover is used to measure the turnover of all assets owned by the company, while also indicating the amount of sales generated by each unit of currency in assets. The formula used is:

$$TATO: \frac{\text{Net sales}}{\text{Total assets}}$$

Method

This study employs a quantitative approach and an analytical descriptive method to analyze and compare the financial performance of PT Medco Energi Internasional Tbk for the 2015-2024 period with the average ratios of the energy sector industry. The type of data used is quantitative secondary data in the form of numerically measurable ratio values, sourced from the annual financial reports of PT Medco Energi Internasional Tbk from 2015 to 2024. This secondary data was specifically obtained from financial statements downloaded from the company's official website at <https://www.medcoenergi.com/>. Data analysis was conducted descriptively through the following stages: (a) Data collection; (b) Calculation of the company's financial performance; (c) Comparison of ratio data with industry ratios; and (d) Drawing conclusions and comparison with standard ratio benchmarks.

Results and Discussion

This analysis aims to assess the financial performance of PT Medco Energi Internasional Tbk during the period from 2015 to 2024. The assessment was carried out using the financial ratio analysis method, covering liquidity, profitability, solvency, and activity ratios. The following sections present the results, explanation, and interpretation of the processed data.

Table 1. Current Ratio

Presented in US Dollars

| Year | Current Assets | Current Liabilities | CR (%) |
|------|----------------|---------------------|--------|
| 2015 | 1.044.863.276 | 526.615.346 | 198,41 |
| 2016 | 1.134.260.785 | 860.560.282 | 131,80 |
| 2017 | 1.975.050.108 | 1.293.641.095 | 152,67 |
| 2018 | 1.827.115.361 | 1.101.979.278 | 165,80 |
| 2019 | 1.694.682.717 | 705.581.042 | 240,18 |
| 2020 | 2.021.925.790 | 1.372.820.769 | 147,28 |

| | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 2021 | 1.701.563.649 | 1.006.176.448 | 169,11 |
| 2022 | 1.751.396.299 | 1.379.314.476 | 126,98 |
| 2023 | 1.546.648.779 | 1.422.070.168 | 108,76 |
| 2024 | 1.816.976.250 | 1.505.385.643 | 120,70 |
| Average Current Ratio | | | 156,17 |

Source: processed data, 2025

Based on the calculations in Table 1, the average current ratio is 156.17% or 1.56x, which is significantly above the minimum healthy threshold of 1x or 100%. This is considered good or above average, indicating that PT Medco Energi Internasional Tbk demonstrates an adequate ability to meet its short-term obligations with its current assets. The current ratio peaked in 2019 at 240.18% (2.4x) and reached its lowest point in 2023 at 108.76%.

Table 2. Quick Ratio

Presented in US Dollars

| Year | Current Assets | Inventory | Current Liabilities | QR (%) |
|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| 2015 | 1.044.863.276 | 40.067.047 | 526.615.346 | 190,80 |
| 2016 | 1.134.260.785 | 70.290.770 | 860.560.282 | 123,64 |
| 2017 | 1.975.050.108 | 88.911.512 | 1.293.641.095 | 145,80 |
| 2018 | 1.827.115.361 | 62.317.376 | 1.101.979.278 | 160,15 |
| 2019 | 1.694.682.717 | 96.205.717 | 705.581.042 | 226,55 |
| 2020 | 2.021.925.790 | 98.617.960 | 1.372.820.769 | 140,10 |
| 2021 | 1.701.563.649 | 100.884.410 | 1.006.176.448 | 159,09 |
| 2022 | 1.751.396.299 | 106.080.107 | 1.379.314.476 | 119,29 |
| 2023 | 1.546.648.779 | 126.768.353 | 1.422.070.168 | 99,85 |
| 2024 | 1.816.976.250 | 156.124.598 | 1.505.385.643 | 110,33 |
| Quick Ratio | | | | 147,56 |

Source: processed data, 2025

Based on the calculations in Table 2, the average quick ratio is 147.56% or 1.48x, which is reasonably strong as it exceeds the minimum standard of 1x and even the more stringent standard of 1.5x. This indicates that PT Medco Energi Internasional Tbk has a good, above-average ability to pay off its current liabilities without relying on inventory sales, compared to some other energy companies.

Table 3. Cash Ratio

Presented in US Dollars

| Year | Cash and Cash Equivalents | Current Liabilities | Cash Ratio |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| 2015 | 463.175.233 | 526.615.346 | 0,88 |
| 2016 | 164.560.884 | 860.560.282 | 0,19 |
| 2017 | 489.040.578 | 1.293.641.095 | 0,38 |
| 2018 | 418.052.511 | 1.101.979.278 | 0,38 |
| 2019 | 456.216.294 | 705.581.042 | 0,65 |
| 2020 | 296.476.749 | 1.372.820.769 | 0,22 |
| 2021 | 480.984.828 | 1.006.176.448 | 0,48 |
| 2022 | 599.998.594 | 1.379.314.476 | 0,43 |
| 2023 | 353.948.953 | 1.422.070.168 | 0,25 |
| 2024 | 637.024.448 | 1.505.385.643 | 0,42 |
| Average Cash Ratio | | | 0,43 |

Source: processed data, 2025

Based on the calculations in Table 3, the average cash ratio is slightly below the ideal standard of 0.5x, suggesting that the company needs to increase its proportion of cash or cash equivalents to promptly settle current liabilities. The fluctuations reflect highly dynamic cash management. The highest fluctuation occurred in 2015 at 0.88x.

Table 3. Net Profit Margin

Presented in US Dollars

| Year | Net Income | Revenues | NPM (%) |
|------|-------------|---------------|---------|
| 2015 | 186.173.541 | 628.479.277 | 29,62 |
| 2016 | 187.048.979 | 600.351.828 | 31,16 |
| 2017 | 131.808.773 | 925.642.880 | 14,24 |
| 2018 | 28.372.936 | 1.218.251.548 | 2,33 |
| 2019 | 13.533.049 | 1.438.290.853 | 0,94 |
| 2020 | 177.303.520 | 1.093.274.485 | 16,22 |
| 2021 | 62.600.751 | 1.323.241.584 | 4,73 |
| 2022 | 551.410.338 | 2.312.227.602 | 23,85 |
| 2023 | 345.768.603 | 2.249.337.578 | 15,37 |
| 2024 | 380.206.515 | 2.399.190.555 | 15,85 |

Average Net Profit Margin
15,43

Source: processed data, 2025

Based on the calculations in Table 4, the average net profit margin is slightly below the general industry standard of 20%. However, there was extreme volatility, with the ratio dropping to 0.94% in 2019 and rising to 23.85% in 2022. The performance of PT Medco Energi Internasional Tbk in 2022 reflects excellent efficiency, while years with very low NPM indicate cost pressures or commodity prices that squeezed margins.

Table 5. Gross Profit Margin

Presented in US Dollars

| Year | Gross Profit | Revenues | GPM (%) |
|------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| 2015 | 208.262.513 | 628.479.277 | 33,14 |
| 2016 | 250.577.534 | 600.351.828 | 41,74 |
| 2017 | 420.734.170 | 925.642.880 | 45,45 |
| 2018 | 632.175.587 | 1.218.251.548 | 51,89 |
| 2019 | 591.732.465 | 1.438.290.853 | 41,14 |
| 2020 | 321.710.544 | 1.093.274.485 | 29,43 |
| 2021 | 565.765.217 | 1.323.241.584 | 42,76 |
| 2022 | 1.246.025.320 | 2.312.227.602 | 53,89 |
| 2023 | 1.033.661.021 | 2.249.337.578 | 45,95 |
| 2024 | 933.467.789 | 2.399.190.555 | 38,91 |
| Average Gross Profit Margin | | | 42,43 |

Source: processed data, 2025

Based on the calculations in Table 5, the average gross profit margin is very strong, significantly exceeding the general standard of 30%. This indicates that the cost of goods sold is highly efficient compared to revenue, resulting in substantial gross margins, especially amidst rising commodity prices. The peak was in 2022 at 53.89%, and the lowest was in 2020 at 29.43%.

Table 6. Return On Equity

Presented in US Dollars

| Year | Net Income | Total Equity | ROE (%) |
|------|-------------|---------------|---------|
| 2015 | 186.173.541 | 701.593.859 | 26,54 |
| 2016 | 187.048.979 | 890.508.856 | 21,00 |
| 2017 | 131.808.773 | 1.402.672.048 | 9,40 |

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|
| 2018 | 28.372.936 | 1.387.261.307 | 2,05 |
| 2019 | 13.533.049 | 1.356.246.297 | 1,00 |
| 2020 | 177.303.520 | 1.213.384.963 | 14,61 |
| 2021 | 62.600.751 | 1.229.336.461 | 5,09 |
| 2022 | 551.410.338 | 1.747.519.325 | 31,55 |
| 2023 | 345.768.603 | 2.027.595.992 | 17,05 |
| 2024 | 380.206.515 | 2.351.031.569 | 16,17 |
| Average Return On Equity | | | 14,45 |

Source: processed data, 2025

Based on the calculations in Table 6, the performance of PT Medco Energi Internasional Tbk remains well below average. The commonly cited standard for ROE is 40%. With an average value of 14.45%, this indicates that the company is less efficient in generating profit from every unit of capital invested by shareholders. The very low ROE of 1.00% in 2019 is particularly concerning. The significant increase in 2022 reflects the successful utilization of leverage during a period of high profitability.

Table 7. Return On Investment

Presented in US Dollars

| Year | Net Income | Total Assets | ROI (%) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| 2015 | 186.173.541 | 2.909.808.828 | 6,40 |
| 2016 | 187.048.979 | 3.597.130.603 | 5,20 |
| 2017 | 131.808.773 | 5.160.785.857 | 2,55 |
| 2018 | 28.372.936 | 5.252.393.746 | 0,54 |
| 2019 | 13.533.049 | 6.006.538.390 | 0,23 |
| 2020 | 177.303.520 | 5.900.822.955 | 3,00 |
| 2021 | 62.600.751 | 5.683.884.139 | 1,10 |
| 2022 | 551.410.338 | 6.931.905.826 | 7,95 |
| 2023 | 345.768.603 | 7.468.316.269 | 4,63 |
| 2024 | 380.206.515 | 7.926.890.365 | 4,80 |
| Average Return On Investment | | | 3,64 |

Source: processed data, 2025

Based on the calculations in Table 7, the performance of PT Medco Energi Internasional Tbk is far below average. Similar to ROE, the standard for ROI is generally 30%. The average value of 3.64% is very low and reflects that the company's substantial total assets (characteristic of the oil and gas industry) are not being utilized

efficiently to generate net profit. The low ROI is a logical consequence of the low Total Asset Turnover (TATO) and the large size of the company's total assets.

Table 8. Debt to Assets Ratio

Presented in US Dollars

| Year | Total Liabilities | Total Assets | DAR |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------|
| 2015 | 2.208.214.969 | 2.909.808.828 | 0,76 |
| 2016 | 2.706.621.747 | 3.597.130.603 | 0,75 |
| 2017 | 3.758.113.809 | 5.160.785.857 | 0,73 |
| 2018 | 3.865.132.439 | 5.252.393.746 | 0,74 |
| 2019 | 4.650.292.093 | 6.006.538.390 | 0,77 |
| 2020 | 4.687.437.992 | 5.900.822.955 | 0,79 |
| 2021 | 4.454.547.678 | 5.683.884.139 | 0,78 |
| 2022 | 5.184.386.501 | 6.931.905.826 | 0,75 |
| 2023 | 5.440.720.277 | 7.468.316.269 | 0,73 |
| 2024 | 5.575.858.796 | 7.926.890.365 | 0,70 |
| Average Debt To Assets Ratio | | | 0,75 |

Source: processed data, 2025

Based on the calculations in Table 8, the Debt to Assets Ratio (DAR) is considered very risky as it exceeds the standard industry value. A good standard DAR is generally below 50%, and for the oil and gas sector, the frequently cited threshold is 35%. The average DAR for PT Medco Energi Internasional Tbk is 0.75x or 75.08%, far exceeding the safe limit, indicating that most assets are debt-financed and carry significant financial risk.

Table 9. Debt to Equity Ratio

Presented in US Dollars

| Year | Total Liabilities | Total Equity | DER |
|------|-------------------|---------------|------|
| 2015 | 2.208.214.969 | 701.593.859 | 3,15 |
| 2016 | 2.706.621.747 | 890.508.856 | 3,04 |
| 2017 | 3.758.113.809 | 1.402.672.048 | 2,68 |
| 2018 | 3.865.132.439 | 1.387.261.307 | 2,79 |
| 2019 | 4.650.292.093 | 1.356.246.297 | 3,43 |
| 2020 | 4.687.437.992 | 1.213.384.963 | 3,86 |
| 2021 | 4.454.547.678 | 1.229.336.461 | 3,62 |
| 2022 | 5.184.386.501 | 1.747.519.325 | 2,97 |

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|
| 2023 | 5.440.720.277 | 2.027.595.992 | 2,68 |
| 2024 | 5.575.858.796 | 2.351.031.569 | 2,37 |
| Average Debt To Equity Ratio | | | 3,06 |

Source: processed data, 2025

Based on the calculations in Table 9, the performance of PT Medco Energi Internasional Tbk is risky because the safe industry standard for DER is generally below 1.0x or 100%. Even for the oil and gas industry, the maximum mentioned limit is 90%. The average DER of PT Medco Energi Internasional Tbk is 3.06x, which is very high. This shows a debt dependency three times that of equity. This condition is far below the industry standard and indicates a very aggressive capital structure and extreme solvency risk.

Table 10. Inventory Turnover

Presented in US Dollars

| Year | Cost of Goods Sold | Inventory | ITO |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 2015 | 420.216.764 | 40.067.047 | 10,49 |
| 2016 | 349.774.294 | 70.290.770 | 4,98 |
| 2017 | 504.908.710 | 88.911.512 | 5,68 |
| 2018 | 504.908.710 | 62.317.376 | 8,10 |
| 2019 | 846.558.388 | 96.205.717 | 8,80 |
| 2020 | 771.563.941 | 98.617.960 | 7,82 |
| 2021 | 757.476.367 | 100.884.410 | 7,51 |
| 2022 | 1.066.156.558 | 106.080.107 | 10,05 |
| 2023 | 1.215.676.557 | 126.768.353 | 9,59 |
| 2024 | 1.465.722.766 | 156.124.598 | 9,39 |
| Average Inventory Turnover | | | 8,24 |

Source: processed data, 2025

Based on the calculations in Table 10, the performance of PT Medco Energi Internasional Tbk can be considered good. The average inventory turnover aligns with the energy sector average of 7x-8x, indicating that the company's inventory (crude oil/gas) turns over relatively quickly. In the oil and gas industry, a high ratio indicates good movement of production output and effective inventory management, where holding costs are minimized.

Table 11. Total Asset Turnover

Presented in US Dollars

| Year | Net Sales | Total Assets | TATO |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|
| 2015 | 628.479.277 | 2.909.808.828 | 0,22 |
| 2016 | 600.351.828 | 3.597.130.603 | 0,17 |
| 2017 | 925.642.880 | 5.160.785.857 | 0,18 |
| 2018 | 1.218.251.548 | 5.252.393.746 | 0,23 |
| 2019 | 1.438.290.853 | 6.006.538.390 | 0,24 |
| 2020 | 1.093.274.485 | 5.900.822.955 | 0,19 |
| 2021 | 1.323.241.584 | 5.683.884.139 | 0,23 |
| 2022 | 2.312.227.602 | 6.931.905.826 | 0,33 |
| 2023 | 2.249.337.578 | 7.468.316.269 | 0,30 |
| 2024 | 2.399.190.555 | 7.926.890.365 | 0,30 |
| Average Total Asset Turnover | | | 0,24 |

Source: processed data, 2025

Based on the calculations in Table 11, the average Total Asset Turnover is far below the good industry standard of 1.1x, but this is normal for oil and gas companies. This shows that the efficiency of PT Medco Energi Internasional Tbk in utilizing its large total assets (a capital-intensive characteristic) to generate sales is still low. The low TATO is a logical consequence of a business heavily reliant on expensive long-term assets. An improvement was seen in 2022 with a value of 0.33x, indicating a positive trend in efficiency enhancement.

Conclusion

Based on the financial ratio analysis of PT Medco Energi Internasional Tbk during the 2015-2024 period, it can be concluded that:

1. High solvency risk is indicated by MEDC's capital structure being dominated by debt, with an average Debt to Asset Ratio (DAR) of 0.75x and Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) of 3.06x. These figures far exceed safe industry limits, indicating a high dependence on external funding and vulnerability to interest rate fluctuations or declines in revenue.
2. Fluctuating and sub-optimal profitability, as although the average Gross Profit Margin (GPM) reached 42.43%, reflecting production efficiency, the Net Profit Margin (NPM), Return on Equity (ROE), and Return on Investment (ROI) all fell below industry standards. This indicates that operational costs and non-operational expenses continue to burden net profit, and the large asset base has not been utilized optimally to generate earnings.
3. Low asset efficiency is evident from the low average Total Asset Turnover (TATO) of 0.24x, reflecting the company's ineffectiveness in generating revenue from its

total assets. While this condition is typical for capital-intensive companies like MEDC, it still requires attention to improve asset productivity.

4. Adequate but fluctuating liquidity, with the average Current Ratio (CR) and Quick Ratio (QR) above the minimum threshold, demonstrating a sufficient ability to meet short-term obligations. However, the decline in liquidity during certain periods, such as in 2023, signals the need for caution in managing cash and current assets.

References

Amiin. (2022). *Analisis Informasi Keuangan*. Universitas Terbuka.

Andriyani, S. (2005). 32-66-1-Sm. 2(32), 13–24.

Asharun, A., Ramli, A., Anwar, Idris, A. A., & Natsir, U. D. (2023). Analisis Rasio Keuangan untuk Menilai Kinerja Keuangan pada PT. Indofood Sukses Makmur, Tbk. *Mutiara: Jurnal Ilmiah Multidisiplin Indonesia*, 1(2), 120–132. <https://doi.org/10.61404/jimi.v1i2.52>

Destiani, T., & Hendriyani, R. M. (2021). Analisis Rasio Keuangan untuk Menilai Kinerja Keuangan Perusahaan. *Al-Kharaj: Jurnal Ekonomi, Keuangan & Bisnis Syariah*, 4(1), 33–51. <https://doi.org/10.47467/alkharaj.v4i1.488>

Dewi. (2017). Analisis rasio keuangan untuk mengukur kinerja keuangan PT Smartfren Telecom, Tbk. *Jurnal Penelitian Ekonomi Akuntansi (JENSI)*, 1(1), 1–14. *Jensi*, 1(1), 2017. <http://www.idx.co.id>

Fahmi. (2012). *Analisis Laporan Keuangan*. Alfabeta.

Ferdiyatmoko Cahya Kumoro, D., Novitasari, D., Yuwono, T., Asbari, M., Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Insan Pembangunan, S., & Insan Pembangunan, S. (2020). Analysis of the Effect of Quick Ratio (QR), Total Assets Turn Over (TATO), and Debt To Equity Ratio (DER) on Return On Equity (ROE) at PT. XYZ. *Journal Industrial Engineering & Management Research (Jiemar)*, 1(3), 2722–8878.

Harahap, S. S. (2010). *Analisis Kritis Atas Laporan Keuangan*. Rajagrafindo Persada.

Jumingan. (2014). *Analisis Laporan Keuangan*. Bumi Aksara.

Kasmir. (2010). *Pengantar Manajemen Keuangan*. Kencana Prenada Media Group.

Kasmir. (2019). *Analisis Laporan Keuangan*. Grasindo.

Marginingsih, R. (2017). Penilaian Kinerja Perusahaan Dengan Menggunakan Analisa Rasio Keuangan Pada Perusahaan Telekomunikasi Di Indonesia. *Cakrawala-Jurnal Humaniora*, 17, 1.

- Mukhlisiah, R., & Syach Ginting, M. E. (2024). Analisis Kinerja Keuangan PT Tembaga Mulia Semanan Tbk. Periode 2019-2022. *Progress: Jurnal Pendidikan, Akuntansi dan Keuangan*, 7(1), 25–35. <https://doi.org/10.47080/progress.v7i1.3193>
- Nurmawati, S. L. L. dan. (2022). Prosiding Seminar Nasional Manajemen. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Manajemen*, 1(2), 72–76.
- Oktariansyah, O. (2020). Analisis Rasio Likuiditas, Solvabilitas dan Profitabilitas Dalam Menilai Kinerja Keuangan Pada PT. Goldman Costco Tbk Periode 2014-2018. *Jurnal Media Wahana Ekonomika*, 17(1), 55. <https://doi.org/10.31851/jmwe.v17i1.4336>
- Purnomo, A. (2018). Influence of The Ratio of Profit Margin, Financial Leverage Ratio, Current Ratio, Quick Ratio Against The Conditions and Financial Distress. *Indonesian Journal of Business, Accounting and Management*, 1(1), 8–16. <https://doi.org/10.36406/ijbam.v1i1.218>
- Silvan, A. (2025). Analisis Rasio Likuiditas, Rasio Solvabilitas, Rasio Profitabilitas, dan Rasio Aktivitas untuk Menilai Kinerja Keuangan Pada PT Unilever Indonesia Tbk, Jakarta. *Jurnal sosial dan sains*, 5(4), 924–944. <https://doi.org/10.59188/jurnalsosains.v5i4.32128>
- Widiyanti, M. (2014). Analisis Rasio Likuiditas, Rasio Solvabilitas, Rasio Aktifitas dan Rasio Profitabilitas Pada PT. Holcim Indonesia, Tbk Dan PT. Indocement Tunggul Prakarsa, Tbk. *Ilmiah dan politik*, 11(1), 31–44.
- Yuniwati, A. S., Aulia, A. L., & Putri, A. R. P. (2024). Analisis Rasio Profitabilitas, Rasio Solvabilitas, Rasio Likuiditas dan Rasio Pasar Terhadap Kinerja Keuangan PT. Bank Central Asia Tbk. *EKOMA: Jurnal Ekonomi, Manajemen, Akuntansi*, 3(4), 334–350.
- Zulkifli, Z., Machmud, M., & Lukman, S. D. S. (2023). Analisis Rasio Profitabilitas dan Rasio Aktivitas dalam Mengukur Kinerja Keuangan. *Jurnal Kewirausahaan*, 10(2), 129–136. <http://journal.stieamsir.ac.id/index.php/man/article/view/518>